UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970,600	10/04/2001	William H. Wisecarver III	1480-00	1951	
35811 7590 08/21/2007 IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER US LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE			EXAMINER		
			DASS, HARISH T		
PHILADELPH	ΓST, SUITE 4900 IA, PA 19103		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,	3693	3693		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/970,600	WISECARVER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Harish T. Dass	3693

	Harish T. Dass	3693					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Harish T. Dass.	(3) <u>Paul Taufer (Reg. # 35,703)</u> .						
(2)	(4) <u>R. Cruz (Reg. # 52,783)</u>).					
Date of Interview: <u>07 August 2007</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t)⊠ applicant's representative	·]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 7,006,993</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊡ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 09/970,600

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Richard Cruz explained the invention that the account of claim 1 is not a financial account tie to credit card which prior art teaches. Examiner does not agree with attorney's position. Referring to the claim 1 limitations, it is clear that the claimed limitation referees to a financial account (see claim 1). Attorney is going to amend the claims to reflect what the invention is and the amendment will be fully supported by the original specification. Examiner will apply prior art based on the amended claims.